



Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease

elSSN 2532-5264

https://www.monaldi-archives.org/

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The *Early Access* service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The **Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease** is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

All legal disclaimers applicable to the journal apply to this production process as well.

Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2025 [Online ahead of print]

To cite this Article: Agarwal K, Gray AT, Toma TP, et al. **Bronchoscopic valve therapy for tuberculosis: a scoping review.** *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2025.3281

> CThe Author(s), 2025 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Bronchoscopic valve therapy for tuberculosis: a scoping review

Kshitij Agarwal,¹ Adam T. Gray,² Tudor P. Toma,² Valentina Luzzi,³ Lorenzo Corbetta³

¹Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Delhi Hospital, Bahadurgarh, India; ²Department of Medicine, Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust, London, UK; ³Unit of Interventional Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Careggi, Florence, Italy

Correspondence: Valentina Luzzi, Unit of Interventional Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Careggi, Largo Brambilla 3, 50134, Florence, Italy. E-mail: <u>valentinaluzzi@hotmail.com</u>

Contributions: LC, VL, TPT, KA, conceptualized the idea; ATG, KA, TPT, collected, reviewed, and interpreted the relevant publications and drafted the manuscript; ATG, LC, VL, critically analysed the manuscript and its revisions; KA, ATG, TPT, VL, LC, approved the final draft of the manuscript. The authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of interest: the authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: an ethics statement is not applicable because this study is based exclusively on published literature.

Informed consent: not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: all data used in the manuscript is available in public domain in the form of printed articles in scientific Journals and reports of Organizations and Government regulatory bodies; links to the same are provided in the 'References' section.

Funding: this study was not funded by any national or international funding body or commercial entity.

Acknowledgments: KA is a recipient of the ERS Long-Term Research Fellowship for Scientifically Developing countries (2018) pursued at the University Hospital of Careggi, Florence, Italy, and thanks the ERS for this opportunity which was instrumental for this work. The editing and formatting of the first draft of the manuscript in the British academic style were in part conducted assisted by ChatGPT v3.5 (http://chat.openai.com). However, all the text is original, and all information presented in this manuscript was generated and verified by the authors themselves. No artificial intelligence generated information has been included in this manuscript. The prompt used for ChatGPT was "Simply edit the following text for clarity, cohesion and brevity: [text]". References were compiled using Litmaps (https://www.litmaps.com) and Mendeley (https://www.mendeley.com) software.

Abstract

The management of tuberculosis (TB) presents significant challenges, particularly in the context of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) and TB-HIV co-infection. Traditional surgical interventions, such as lung resection and pneumothorax induction, have largely been phased out with the advent of modern antibiotic regimens. However, emerging evidence suggests that endobronchial interventions, specifically the use of unidirectional valves, have potential in supporting the treatment of MDR-TB.

The objective of this review is to demonstrate the feasibility of closure of tubercular cavities using endobronchial valves, resulting in improved clinical outcomes and sputum smear conversion. PubMed was searched from inception to September 2024.

The small studies reviewed here demonstrate the feasibility of tubercular cavity closure using endobronchial valves, resulting in improved clinical outcomes and sputum smear conversion. Yet, limited access to Food and Drug Administration-approved valves and funding challenges hinders large-scale trials. To address these limitations, further evidence is needed within improved international collaboration.

We suggest that international prospective trials and innovation are needed. Such collaborative efforts would clarify the role of valves in TB treatment and hopefully lead to the development of simpler and more affordable occlusive devices that would benefit patients with MDR-TB, particularly in low-income countries.

Key words: multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, endobronchial valves, TB-HIV co-infection, innovative treatment strategies, international collaboration in tuberculosis research.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a global health concern, with an estimated 10.6 million people falling ill and 1.6 million losing their lives to this disease in 2021 [1]. Prior to the development of effective chemotherapy for TB, surgery was used to reduce mortality and morbidity. Today, the WHO recommends that partial lung resection may be used alongside drug treatment for some patients with RR/MDR-TB [1]. During the era when TB surgery was more widely used, thoracic surgeons performed procedures such as iatrogenic pneumothorax, intrapleural insertion of ping-pong balls, thoracoplasty, and excision surgery of cavernous areas with the aims of collapse lung and to limit oxygen exposure to the mycobacterium - *M. tuberculosis,* is an aerobic organism and the reduction of oxygen induced by these interventions reduces the speed of mycobacteria replication [2]. The advent of effective antibiotic treatments in the late 20th century obviated the need for surgical interventions, which are now often limited to specific scenarios (e.g. life-threatening haemoptysis, tension pneumothorax, cavitary disease progressing despite optimal chemotherapy) [3].

Until recently, drug treatment for fully sensitive TB was standardised, with a six-month regimen used for all individuals independent of disease burden. This regimen was derived from trials conducted on patients with smear positive tuberculosis, however, it is increasingly recognised that TB disease exists on a spectrum including latent infection and subclinical disease to extensive, cavitating disease [4]. Recent trials have shown success using 2-month treatment regimens for people with drug-susceptible disease [5], and the WHO now recommends several 6 and 9-month options for people with drug-resistant disease, with excellent outcomes for an increasing majority of patients [6]. There has been less research interest, however, at the other end of the spectrum – e.g. what interventions might improve treatment outcomes for those with cavitating, multibacillary disease? Globally, patients with fully susceptible disease treated in 2021 the success rate was 86% (71-92%), and for those treated for MDR/RR-TB in 2019 the success rate was 60% (57-72%), demonstrating that there is still ample room to improve overall outcomes. It is recognised that treatment failure is more common in people with MDR/RR-TB and those living with HIV [7]. Cavitating disease is a risk for treatment failure, possibly due to inadequate penetration of antibiotics into affected areas.

Historically used surgical interventions are not readily amenable to large, randomized studies for several reasons, making it challenging to establish evidence-based guidelines. The complexity and infrequency of the surgery and the lack of clear definitions for indication, timing, procedural approaches, and patient selection, further hinders their effective implementation [8]. Bronchoscopic techniques seeking to mimic effects of thoracic surgery (e.g. cavity closure) may be safer and easier, and we sought to review their role in the management of TB.

Methods

This scoping review systematically evaluated the available literature on the use of endobronchial valves for tuberculosis management, focusing on their role in closing tubercular cavities and improving treatment outcomes for patients with multi-drug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis.

Search strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted on PubMed from inception until September 2024. The search terms included "Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis", "Endobronchial Valves", "TB-HIV Co-Infection", "Bronchoscopic Interventions", and "Tuberculosis Treatment Innovations". We included studies that evaluated the efficacy and safety of endobronchial valves in tuberculosis management and included primary data on clinical outcomes such as cavity closure, sputum smear conversion, and treatment duration (Table 1). Case reports, case series, and clinical trials were included, while editorials, commentary, articles without available full text, and review articles were excluded. Language filters were not applied, with translation services used for articles in languages not understood by the reviewers.

Results and Discussion

Bronchoscopic intervention as an alternative to surgery

Bronchoscopic lung volume reduction (BLVR) with endobronchial valves is a technique developed over the last few decades, initially to restore lung function to patients affected by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with hyperinflation [9].

The principle underlying endobronchial valves is to seal the drainage bronchus through a oneway valve so that the air can exit but not enter, promoting the volume reduction of the corresponding lung tissue without affecting the secretion drainage. The safety of BLVR in patients with severe emphysema inspired the use of unidirectional valves in emulating the physiological outcomes of surgical procedures for TB. These proposed bronchoscopic interventions aim to induce absorptive atelectasis and collapse of the tubercular lung, leading to cavity closure and, perhaps, improved penetration of TB medications. The use of endobronchial valves for COPD has gained considerable attention and in 2018 the Zephyr® Endobronchial valve (Pulmonx, Redwood City, California, USA) was approved for use by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the USA following a large, randomised trial [10]. The systematic application of these valves in patients with TB remains largely unexplored, but a small body of literature has emerged, offering evidence supporting the safety and effectiveness of endobronchial valve use as an alternative management approach for the closure of tubercular cavities. Corbetta et al. reported a case series that included four patients between 2010-2015 with various difficult to treat forms of tuberculosis and one with atypical mycobacterial infection [11]. Complete cavity collapses were observed in four out of five patients after the insertion of a Zephyr valve, accompanied by clinical improvement and negative sputum smears within 3-5 months. Notably, no severe short or long-term complications were reported, and the valves were successfully removed in three patients after an average of eight months, without any relapse during a 15-month follow-up period.

In 2016, Levin et al. reported on a study on MDR-TB patients comparing the efficacy of endobronchial valve (EBV) placement to conventional second-line chemotherapy alone. 102 patients with destructive MDR-TB were enrolled, with 49 patients receiving EBV and chemotherapy and 53 patients receiving chemotherapy only. The addition of EBV placement resulted in a significantly higher rate of bacteriological conversion at three months (95.9% vs 37.7%, p<0.0001) and a higher rate of cure at three years (80.5% vs 25.0%) [12].

In 2022, An et al. reported on a single-arm study which enrolled 35 patients with cavitating, pulmonary MDR-TB and positive sputum cultures to receive treatment with EBV in addition to individualised chemotherapy. In all patients EBV implantation resulted in a reduction in cavity size with complete closure in 68.8%. Sputum culture conversion occurred in all individuals, and no severe adverse events associated with EBV implantation were reported [13].

Several studies on endobronchial device closure in patients with TB were found published in Russian medical journals. Popova et al assessed the impact of a locally designed EBV on lung function in 74 patients with cavitary pulmonary tuberculosis [14]. They found EBV placement may cause "functional worsening" in patients with normal lung function at baseline, but improvements in those with abnormal lung function at baseline. A second study by the same group describe "endoscopic valve bronchomalacia" with the aim of preserving functioning lung tissue in patients with significant lung damage after thoracic surgery [15].

Togo et al report the case of a 48-year-old diagnosed with extensive, clarithromycin-resistant *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease, who achieved a good outcome with lobectomy and bronchial occlusion using an Endobronchial Watanabe Spigot (EWS), avoiding the need for pneumonectomy [16].

EBV devices have also been studied for indications other than cavity closure. One study used EBV for the treatment of broncho-pleural fistula, and some of the patients had TB [17]. Good outcomes were reported, although it was not possible to disaggregate the results for patients with TB. Additionally, EBV have been used for a patient with TB and intractable haemoptysis, and broncho-cutaneous fistula [18,19]

Collectively, these studies provide intriguing preliminary evidence that endobronchial device closure may be a valuable adjunct in the management of tubercular cavities. The rapid closure

of cavities may reduce the risk of acquired drug resistance and potentially reduce the duration of time a person is infectious with public health implications if performed early. Additionally, bronchoscopy may be feasible in patients too unwell for thoracic surgery. The concept has garnered interest from the interventional pulmonology community and experts in the field are involved in further development of endobronchial valve interventions [20]. The quality of the evidence base to date is limited, and further research with larger, controlled studies are needed to establish the long-term effectiveness, safety, and cost-effectiveness of endobronchial closure in TB management.

Challenges of bronchoscopic interventions trials for MDR-TB

A major obstacle in the development of future clinical trials is the limited access to FDAapproved endoscopic valves for the use in patients with TB. As already discussed, the evidence base for EBV in TB is weak and there are no guidelines or recommendations regarding their use. Valve-manufacturing companies are predominantly small and have responsibilities to their stakeholders for the development of treatment for emphysema, and shifting their focus to support TB research would be challenging. Efforts should be directed towards simplifying the regulatory environment – potentially including 3D-printed devices - ensuring that necessary approvals and certifications are accessible in a timely and efficient manner.

A further challenge is regarding the device itself. It is not known whether the characteristics in currently available EBV devices, built for closing emphysematous bullae, are optimised for use in tubercular cavities. Additionally, investment in the manufacturing process may help develop EBV with simpler designs, that are easier to manufacture, more cost-effective, and easy to insert and remove.

Furthermore, the development of an adequately powered trial for EBV in TB would be challenging. It is imagined that bronchoscopic EBV placement would remain a highly specialised intervention, and the population of suitable patients likely small outside of highly endemic settings.

We believe that addressing these limitations requires a coordinated international collaboration. By bringing together researchers, policymakers, manufacturers, and funding agencies in a collaborative network, it may be possible to enhance access to, and use of, EBV for TB research and prioritize the development of simpler, cost-effective occlusive devices.

Conclusions

The use of endobronchial valve placement to induce lung collapse in patients with TB may shorten time to cavity closure and improve rates of sputum culture conversion. Bronchoscopic interventions may be easier and safer than major thoracic surgery and may have a role as an adjunct in TB treatment – especially for patients with advanced disease or MDR-TB. The current evidence base is weak and access to devices limited. These challenges may be overcome with the development of a network alliance bringing together clinicians, researchers, and manufactures, to advance the knowledge base for this potentially important intervention.

References

1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report: 2022. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosis-report-2022</u>.

2. Marrone MT, Venkataramanan V, Goodman M, et al. Surgical interventions for drugresistant tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2013;17:6-16.

3. Calligaro GL, Moodley L, Symons G, Dheda K. The medical and surgical treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis. J Thorac Dis 2014;6:186-95.

4. Drain PK, Bajema KL, Dowdy D, et al. Incipient and subclinical tuberculosis: a clinical review of early stages and progression of infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 2018;31:e00021-18.

5. Paton NI, Cousins C, Suresh C, et al. Treatment strategy for rifampin-susceptible tuberculosis. N Engl J Med 2023;388:873-87.

6. WHO. WHO consolidated guidelines on tuberculosis: module 4: treatment - drugsusceptible tuberculosis treatment. 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240048126.

7. Edessa D, Sisay M, Dessie Y. Unfavorable outcomes to second-line tuberculosis therapy among HIV-infected versus HIV-uninfected patients in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2020;15:e0237534.

8. Kempker RR, Vashakidze S, Solomonia N, et al. Surgical treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Lancet Infect Dis 2012;12:157-66.

9. Toma TP, Hopkinson NS, Hillier J, et al. Bronchoscopic volume reduction with valve implants in patients with severe emphysema. Lancet 2003;361:931-3.

10. Criner GJ, Wright S, Sue R, et al. Effectiveness of the zephyr® endobronchial valves (EBV®) in patients with severe emphysema: clinical outcomes from liberate, a multicenter RCT. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;198:1151-64.

11. Corbetta L, Tofani A, Montinaro F, et al. Lobar collapse therapy using endobronchial valves as a new complementary approach to treat cavities in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis and difficult-to-treat tuberculosis: a case series. Respiration 2016;92:316-28.

12. Levin A, Sklyuev S, Felker I, et al. Endobronchial valve treatment of destructive multidrugresistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2016;20:1539-45.

13. An H, Liu X, Wang T, et al. Endobronchial valve treatment of tuberculous cavities in patients with multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis: a randomized clinical study. Pathogens 2022;11:899.

14. Popova LA, Shergina EA, Lovacheva OV, et al. Change in lung function early after endoscopic bronchial valve placement in patients with chronic cavitary tuberculosis. Pulmonologiya 2018;28:332-40.

15. Popova LA, Shergina EA, Bagdasaryan TP, et al. The change of ventilation and gas exchange function of the lungs as the result of endoscopic valvular bronchial blocking in patients with recurrent fibro-cavernous tuberculosis after lung resection. Medical Alphabet 2019;3:8-15.

16. Togo T, Atsumi J, Hiramatsu M, et al. A novel approach to extensive clarithromycinresistant mycobacterium avium complex pulmonary disease. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2022;28:444-7.

17. Song X, Gu Y, Wang H, Zhang L. The efficacy of endobronchial valves for the treatment of bronchopleural fistula: a single-arm clinical trial. J Thorac Dis 2022;14:712-20.

18. Lalla U, Allwood BW, Sinha Roy S, et al. Endobronchial valve used as salvage therapy in a mechanically ventilated patient with intractable life-threatening haemoptysis. Respiration 2017;93:436-40.

19. Diab K, Rieger K, Noor A. Endobronchial valve placement for pulmonary tuberculosisrelated bronchocutaneous fistula after thoracoplasty. J Bronchology Interv Pulmonol 2020;27:294-6.

20. Gompelmann D, Dheda K. Endoscopic valve therapy: a new therapeutic approach for otherwise incurable tuberculosis? Respiration 2017;93:79-80.

Author	Year	Country	Study design	N. and features	Intervention	Outcomes
Corbetta et al. ¹¹	2016	Italy	Case series	4 patients with difficult to treat forms of TB and 1 atypical mycobacterial infection, with cavities each.	Insertion of Zephyr valves.	Complete or partial cavity collapses , clinical improvement and negative sputum smears within 3-5 months in all the patients.
<i>Levin et al.</i> ¹²	2016	Russia	Randomized controlled trial	102 patients with destructive MDR-TB.	49 patients received EBV placement associated to chemotherapy. 53 received chemotherapy only.	The presence of EBV implicate a higher rate of bacteriological conversion in 3 months and higher rate of cure at 3 years, compared to patients with only chemotherapy.(p<0.0001)
An et al. ¹³	2022	China	Single-arm study	35 patients with cavitating, pulmonary MDR-TB and positive sputum cultures.	EBV placement in addition to individualized chemotherapy.	Reduction of cavity size with complete closure in 68.8%. Sputum culture conversion.
Popova et al ¹⁴	2018	Russia	Randomized control trial	74 patients with cavitary pulmonary TB.	Assessment of pulmonary function after the placement of locally designed endobronchial valves.	Improvements in pulmonary function in those with abnormal lung function.

Table 1. Summary and characteristics of studies included in the final analysis.