

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL** 

## DOI: <u>10.4081/monaldi.2023.2619</u>

## Classification of COPD as ABCD according to GOLD 2011 and 2017 versions in COPD patients at University Medical Center in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Vinh Nguyen-Nhu<sup>1,2</sup>, Lam-Phuoc Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Sy Duong-Quy<sup>3,4</sup>, Pham Le An<sup>1,5</sup>, Tri Bui-Minh<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
<sup>2</sup>Department of Respiratory Functional Exploration, University Medical Center, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
<sup>3</sup>Bio-Medical Research Centre, Lam Dong Medical College, Da Lat, Vietnam;
<sup>4</sup>Penn State Medical College, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey PA, USA
<sup>5</sup>Grant Innovation Center, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

**Corresponding Author:** Tri Bui-Minh, Grant Innovation Center, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. E-mail: buiminhtri17041992@gmail.com

**Key words:** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); GOLD 2017 classification; University Medical Center; comparison; COPD treatment.

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.



Subjects	Total	GOLD 1	GOLD 2	GOLD 3	GOLD 4	p-value
Subjects		n = 36	n = 194	n = 190	n = 37	
Age group, n (%)						< 0.001
	28 (6.1%)			13		
40-49		5 (13.9%)	7 (3.61%)	(6.84%)	3 (8.11%)	
	102		38	44	14	
50-59	(22.3%)	6 (16.7%)	(19.6%)	(23.2%)	(37.8%)	
	167		66	76	18	
60-69	(36.5%)	7 (19.4%)	(34.0%)	(40.0%)	(48.6%)	
	160	18	83	57		
>= 70	(35.0%)	(50.0%)	(42.8%)	(30.0%)	2 (5.41%)	

**Supplementary Table 1.** Comparison of patients in different GOLD stages.



Calling to	Low-risk group	High-risk group		
Subjects	n=184	n=273	p-value	
Age (years), mean ± SD	65.3 ± 10.7	$65.3 \pm 9.84$	0.981	
Age group, n (%)			0.063	
40-49	13 (7.07%)	15 (5.49%)		
50-59	48 (26.1%)	54 (19.8%)		
60-69	54 (29.3%)	113 (41.4%)		
>=70	69 (37.5%)	91 (33.3%)		
Male, n(%)	173 (94.0%)	260 (95.2%)	0.567	
BMI°	21.1 (4.62)	21.0 (5.22)	0.442	
BMI classification, n(%)			0.683	
Underweight	42 (22.8%)	67 (24.5%)		
Normale range	86 (46.7%)	121 (44.3%)		
Overweight	27 (14.7%)	49 (17.9%)		
Obese	29 (15.8%)	36 (13.2%)		
Dyspnoea, n (%)	138 (75.0%)	232 (85.0%)	0.011	
Cough, n (%)	143 (77.7%)	235 (86.1%)	0.024	
Sputum, n (%)	126 (68.5%)	226 (82.8%)	0.001	
Wheezing, n (%)	115 (62.5%)	219 (80.2%)	<0.001	
FVC°	73.0 (22.3)	67.0 (24.0)	<0.001	
FEV1°	56.0 (23.0)	45.0 (20.0)	<0.001	
FEV1FVC°	56.0 (11.0)	52.0 (12.0)	<0.001	
GOLD_therapy, n(%)			<0.001	
LABA	70 (38.0%)	10 (3.66%)		
LABA + ICS	29 (15.8%)	47 (17.2%)		
LAMA	12 (6.52%)	11 (4.03%)		
LAMA + LABA	57 (31.0%)	105 (38.5%)		
LAMA + LABA + ICS	7 (3.80%)	97 (35.5%)		
SABA/ SABA + SAMA	9 (4.89%)	3 (1.10%)		

**Supplementary Table 2.** Comparison of patients between low-risk group (A-B) and high-risk group (C-D) according to GOLD 2017 criteria.

°Non-normal distribution data were expressed as medians (interquartile range).



Country	Year of	Sample	%C to %A	%D to %B	Deferences
	research	size	(% change)	(% change)	References
China	2019	1278	2.2% - 1.2%	70.7% - 48.4%	[9]
			(1%)	(22.3%)	
China	2017	1532	26.8% - 12%	43% - 25.2%	[7]
			(14.9%)	(17.8%)	
Korea	2018	1880	6.6% - 2.2%	38.1% - 14.4%	[12]
			(4.4%)	(22.4%)	
Taiwan	2018	1053	6.7% - 1.9%	34.2% - 11.6%	[10]
			(4.8%)	(22.6%)	
Japan	2018	1168	9.9% - 2.7%	29.8% - 10%	[11]
			(7.2%)	(19.8%)	
Switzerland	2018	571	10.9% - 5.1%	36.1% - 19.3%	[15]
			(5.8%)	(16.8%)	
Our study	2021	457	13.1% - 12%	61.1% - 47.8%	
			(1.1%)	(13.3%)	

Supplementary Table 3. Percentage of reclassified group in some studies.

