Abstract

In patients with chronic heart failure (HF), it is unclear whether self-evaluation of HF-related symptoms and signs may contribute to the surveillance of clinical stability over time or the early identification of worsening HF. Therefore, HF-related symptoms and signs, and compliance to therapy were investigated by a self-administrated questionnaire in patients hospitalized due to worsening HF (cases) as well as those with stable HF (controls). The temporal interval of interest for the questionnaire focused on 2 to 7 days before the hospitalization or the control visit. A method called “classic” extrapolated a surveillance score by 4 questions revealing HF-related symptoms or signs (higher score indicative of more symptoms or signs reported). Alternatively, 3 additional questions enriched the “classic” questionnaire, including one on whether the health status was perceived as stable, improved or worsening. The score from “perceived health status” inquiry was used as “conditional factor” to computed the surveillance score as: conditional factor + [conditional factor X (the sum of the points yielded by the responses to each question on symptoms, sings and compliance to therapy)]. Among 51 patients hospitalized due to worsening HF and 104 with chronic HF (retrospective study), the area under the curve (receiver operating characteristic) discriminating worsening HF was 0.90 by the classic, and 0.96 by the conditional method (both p<0.001), yielding error rates of 2 in 10 by the classic and 1 in 10 by the conditional method. In a pilot longitudinal study in 37 ambulatory HF patients from a different population source, predicting worsening HF by alternative questionnaires within 12 weeks from the visit yielded consistent results. In conclusion, patients’ self-monitoring symptoms, signs and compliance by a standardized questionnaire using a conditional method for generating a relative score, may be a promising method for HF stability surveillance.

Introduction

Worsening heart failure (HF) is a challenging medical issue impacting prognosis, hospitalization length and outcome, and costs of the health system [1,2]. Early identification of HF-related symptoms and signs may trigger early treatment of worsening HF [3], reduce the number and the length of hospitalizations [4,5], potentially contributing to outpatients intensive care programs in HF [6]. However, while HF is one of the chronic diseases associated with highest costs of management in primary care [7], intensive management in chronic HF may increase health management costs without relevant benefits [8]. Therefore, there is a need for research on surveillance of HF-stability impacting optimal disease management and costs. Sensitivity and specificity of early manifestation of worsening HF impact optimal disease management and costs as well [3-6].

Structured and relatively complex questionnaires are used with nurse-led support in HF to assess stability [9-12]. However, it remains unclear whether questionnaires on HF-related symptoms and signs [10,13-16] may be reliable in the setting of home environment and patients’ self-administration without specific support. Therefore, the aim of our study was to investigate the probability of worsening HF assessed by scores derived from very short self-administrated questionnaires focused on HF-related symptoms, signs and compliance to therapy.

Materials and Methods

The study comprised a preliminary retrospective observation comparing self-reported HF-related symptoms, signs and compliance to therapy in patients hospitalized due to worsening HF and in stable ambulatory patients, and a prospective observation of incident worsening HF in ambulatory patients with chronic HF. The present spontaneous and unfunded observational study is based on clinical data from standard clinical practice. Patients gave informed consent to the anonymous utilization of their clinical data finalized to the amelioration of clinical practice and health assistance. The research respected the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).
Simplified questionnaire for HF stability / instability

A simplified questionnaire on symptoms and signs of HF was built in order to have patients able to self-evaluate HF stability without specific professional support, simulating home environment, according to previous experience on symptoms of acute and chronic volume overload [13,14]. In line with the validated simplified KCCQ [10], within the symptoms domain from the KCCQ [15,16], we selected 7 questions regarding symptoms & signs with a time-interval of interest comprised between 2 and 7 days before the hospitalization or the ambulatory visit: question #1-whether symptoms or signs were stable, worsening or improving (used only for the conditional method of computation of the overall score); question #2- whether lower legs were swollen at waking up in the morning; question #3-whether patient had to sleep on a chair or sleep on 3 pillows when in bed due to shortness of breath; question #4-whether shortness of breath or fatigue were limiting ordinary physical activity or self-care; question #5-whether body weight increased 2 kg or more in 7 days before the access to the emergency department; question #6-whether patient experienced syncope or near-syncope as associated with palpitation as potential manifestation of tachyarrhythmias, a common trigger for worsening HF; question #7-a question on regular assumption of specific medications as factor predicting worsening HF (Figure 1).

Statistical analyses

Data in tables are mean ± standard deviation, or percent. The Student’s t-test for independent groups was used to evaluate differences in mean values for continuous variables. The Fisher’s Exact test was used to compare differences in proportions between groups in 2 by 2 tables. Intraclass correlation coefficient was used to investigate the level of agreement between the classic and the conditional methods of computing the global score from the patients’ questionnaire on HF-related symptoms and signs. The area under the curve of the receiver operating characteristic statistic, testing variability of true worsening HF (true positive, sensitivity) by false worsening HF (false positive or 1-specificity) per incremental global score was used as measure of the strength of the odd of worsening HF by the incremental global score from patients’ questionnaires. Differences between the areas under the curves obtained computing the global score of symptoms and signs by the classic and the conditional methods were tested by Z-statistic.
Figure 1. Questionnaire on self-assessment of HF-related symptoms and signs. Questions are reported in the central column. On the left-hand side, the method of computing a HF-stability score, so called classic, is illustrated. According to the formula reported at the bottom of the left column, classic score ranges between 0 and 4, given the fact that each of the 4 questions yielded 1 point for the presence or 0 for the absence of the specific symptom or sign. The right-hand side column shows the 7 questions considered in the conditional method of computing the HF stability score. As it can be appreciated, the conditional method employed an initial question, the so called conditional factor, used to adjust the global score derived from symptoms, signs and compliance to therapy. The formula for computing the HF stability score by the conditional method is reported at the bottom of the right-hand side column, with the global score ranging between 0.1 and 8.5, in the specific case.
With regards to the prospective pilot study, we tested the average symptoms and signs scores over the 12 weeks in patients who did not show worsening HF versus the scores in the week before the event (worsening HF). In all cases, the null hypothesis was rejected for p<0.05.

**Results**

The retrospective study comprised 155 persons with worsening HF (cases) or with stable chronic HF (controls) in a 1:2 ratio (Table 1), in large majority of male gender in both groups. Those with chronic HF were older and showed lower blood pressure as compared to patients with worsening HF. The BNP was higher with acute HF and showed a wide intra-group standard deviation. The KCCQ was significantly lower in patients with worsening compared to those with chronic HF. Severely reduced left ventricular ejection fraction was common in both groups. On average, worsening HF was associated with a higher proportion of recurrent hospitalizations per year due to HF. Ischemic heart disease was common in both groups, whereas moderate-to-severe kidney insufficiency or dialysis, moderate-to-severe mitral insufficiency and anemia were more prevalent among the worsening HF patients. History of atrial fibrillation was relatively common and equally prevalent in both groups; history of significant aortic valvular disease (i.e., valvular area <1.5 cm² and/or vena contract of the aortic insufficiency >=5 mm), of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, of type II diabetes treated with insulin were relatively infrequent without differences between the two groups. The proportion of patients with automated implantable cardiac defibrillator was greater with chronic HF while the proportion of patients implanted with a cardiac resynchronization device was comparable between groups.

Table 1 summarizes the frequency of symptoms in the temporal interval of interest set between 2 and 7 days before the hospitalization, or the ambulatory visit. Among the patients with worsening HF, shortness of breath and/or fatigue for ordinary physical activity was reported fre...
The small longitudinal pilot study comprised 37 patients with age 75±6 years, 62% male gender, 62% with ischemic heart disease, 54% with left ventricular ejection fraction <35%, 10% affected by insulin-treated type II diabetes, 16% with severe chronic kidney disease (estimated creatinine clearance <35 ml/min) or on dialysis, all with at least one previous hospitalization for HF within one year from the index visit. Among those, 41% (n=15) experienced at least one episode of worsening HF within 3 months from the visit, requiring modification of the diuretic therapy or referral to nephrology in the case of patients on dialysis (n=3); of those not on dialysis, all patients either doubled the usual oral dose of diuretics or received intravenous diuretic treatment in day-hospital (4 patients). By the area under the curve of the receiver operating characteristic statistic was 0.92 for the surveillance score by classic method and was 0.97 for that by the conditional method (both p<0.01).

Discussion

Our study evaluated whether patients' self-assessment of HF-related symptoms, signs and compliance to therapy in home-environment may be a reliable method for HF-stability surveillance. For the purpose, we employed a short set of questions similar in part to consolidated HF-related questionnaires [10] proven to be related to acute or chronic volume overload [14], and to the suspect or definite acute HF [17,18]. To minimize a potential tautology between the self-assessment HF instability to build the surveillance score and the definition of worsening HF, both based on symptoms and signs in large majority, in the retrospective study we defined the temporal interval of interest between 2 to 7 days before the hospitalization. We consistently found that shortness of breath and peripheral edema were the symptoms most frequently reported by patients hospitalized due to worsening HF [14]. The conditional method of evaluation of symptoms, signs and compliance showed the best discriminant performance, according to the receiver operating characteristic analyses. Such a method accounts for patients' perception of symptoms stability, episodes of palpitations or fainting or syncope, and information on the compliance to treatments, which allowed to refining discrimination between chronic and acute volume overload, and common triggers of worsening HF. While the validity of our results may reflect known findings such as the relationship between lower KCCQ in patients who experienced acute HF [11,12,23], our novel approach consisted in a very short list of questions for a very rapid assessment in home environment not requiring specific support for patients. Clearly, due to the nature of our study, use of the global HF-stability score to define a potential threshold for triggering interventions in definite or suspected worsening HF is beyond the scope of the current report, as the questionnaire needs to be tested in longitudinal long term studies. However, a pilot study in 37 patients showed initial encouraging results of a very low rate of false positive or false negative episodes of worsening HF, essentially characterized by worsening chronic volume overload.
overload. The rate of events in the pilot prospective study was as high as 41% in 12 weeks, largely expected [24]. In a study comparing home-based versus clinic-based post-discharge management in HF [25], all-cause hospitalization rate or death was as frequent as 88% in the whole cohort over 3.7 years of observation. At variance, in our pilot study, no patient was hospitalized, or died, over 3 months of observation, which predicts reduced healthcare management costs in the short term [26,27]. A previous meta-analysis in acute HF [17] found that the shortness of breath at rest was reported by the 45% of the patients admitted to the emergency room; nevertheless, shortness of breath or fatigue is common to clinical syndromes or diseases alternative to acute HF [19]. Hence, for rising the suspect of worsening HF, the use of a single item may be sensitive but not specific. Sensitivity with relatively low specificity may results in a significant rate of false positive events, and increased costs of management of those patients. On the other hand, in the setting of self-care in home environment, false negative cases with respect to potential worsening HF may be seen and a safety issue in HF surveillance programs, raising a relevant psychological burden for patients. The aggregation of a short set of items may increase the accuracy of the questionnaire, but specificity may increase while sensitivity may decrease. We experienced that with higher global score, the likelihood of true positive cases increased independently of the method used for computing the global surveillance score. So that, the substantial difference between the classic and the conditional method for assessing the global HF surveillance score may be appreciated for patients with a mild symptom/signs burden resulting in a relatively low global score by the classic method. Nevertheless, in the range of 0-2 points by the classic method, the conditional method yielded a larger range of possible surveillance score, demonstrating de-correlation between the two methods and providing the base for a more accurate discrimination by the conditional approach. In fact, the area under the curve by the receiver operating characteristic was greater for the conditional method rather than for the classic method; extrapolating, the classic method may result in 2 errors (false positive or negative) in 10 patients while the conditional method in 1 error of classification every 10 patients evaluated. Of note, the conditional method employed a broader set of items correlated with worsening HF, such as compliance to prescribed medications [28], and also palpitations followed by near syncope or syncope, both correlated to triggers of HF [20].

The question on the perception of wellness, used as conditional factor, may fall into the domain of emotional factors [14], and be considered as a potential confounder. However, the answer to the question on perception of wellness yielded points that decreased the global score in all cases but when the patient perceived to feel worse (Figure 1), so that the conditional factor (perception of wellness) tend to reduce the impact of chronic symptoms associated with chronic stable volume overload. The pilot longitudinal study provided consistent results on the matter.

Our study has a number of limitations. We focused on worsening HF and excluded de novo cases. However, in the present context, we had chronic HF patients as controls, so that we aimed at a homogeneous experience on HF-related symptoms and signs between case and controls. The retrospective study should be considered as hypothesis generating. However, in preliminary data in a small group of patients from a difference population source, results tend to be consistent with regard to a small difference between the two methods, which may turn into a relevant difference in a large population.

Conclusions

A simple short questionnaire on HF-related symptoms, signs and compliance to therapy appears to be a promising tool for self-care surveillance to predict worsening HF and reduce the time-to-differential diagnosis and treatment. A conditional approach to estimate a global surveillance score for predicting worsening HF may refine the accuracy of a self-care surveillance. Large prospective studies are needed to validate our preliminary results.
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