Successful management of ARDS with bronchopleural fistula secondary to miliary tuberculosis using a conventional ventilator
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Most institutions in India and other developing countries do not have facilities for high frequency ventilation in adults. We report the successful management of a case of ARDS with bronchopleural fistula secondary to miliary tuberculosis using a conventional ventilator and early empiric anti-tubercular therapy.
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Introduction

Bronchopleural fistulas (BPF) continue to present a formidable management and therapeutic challenge to pulmonologists especially when they occur in the setting of ARDS. It is generally believed that high-frequency ventilation in these patients is superior to conventional modes of ventilation in achieving gas exchange goals and minimizing air-leak. However, this modality of ventilation is not available for adults in most centres in India and other developing countries. We describe the successful management of a 21-year-old female presenting with ARDS and BPF secondary to pulmonary tuberculosis using a conventional ventilator.

Case Report

A 21 year old previously healthy female presented to the Emergency Department with a 2-week history of fever and non-productive cough followed by progressive dyspnea for 5 days prior to admission. Physical examination revealed marked tachypnea (RR=44/min), pallor, mild hepatosplenomegaly and bibasal fine inspiratory crackles. There were bilateral extensive alveolar as well as fine nodular infiltrates on Chest-X-ray (CXR) (figure 1). Arterial blood gases revealed severe type I respiratory failure (PaO₂ 40 mm Hg, PaCO₂ 25 mmHg, HCO₃⁻ 19 mEq/L, pH 7.47, SaO₂ 82%). Complete blood counts, renal and liver function tests were within normal limits.

Broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics (cefotaxime and azithromycin) and high flow oxygen through a ventimask (FiO₂ 0.5) were administered with no significant improvement in either the clinical condition or blood gases over the next 24 hours. The patient was shifted to the Respiratory Intensive Care Unit (RICU) where she developed a spontaneous left sided pneumothorax (volume = 30% of hemithorax) on day two of admission. A 28 French chest tube was inserted in the 3rd intercostal space and subsequent CXRs revealed that the lung had fully expanded even though an air-leak persisted. Her clinical condition worsened and she was electively intubated and put on an assist control mode of mechanical ventilation (Hamilton Amadeus FT ventilator) with initial settings of tidal volume 300 ml (6 ml/kg body weight), rate 20/min, peak inspiratory flow (PIF) 32 L/min, FiO₂ 1.0 and PEEP 10 cm H₂O. At these settings, her peak, plateau and mean airway pressures were 27, 23 and 12 cm water respectively. Excessive bubbling was noticed in the underwater seal bag and fistula leak per breath (estimated by subtracting the exhaled tidal volume from the inspiratory tidal volume - both readings being available on the Hamilton Amadeus FT ventilator) was 90 ml or 1.8 L/min. At this point ABG was as follows: PaO₂ 55 mm Hg, PaCO₂ 47 mmHg, HCO₃⁻ 25 mEq/L, pH 7.37, SaO₂ 86%. The level of applied PEEP was increased gradually to 16 cm water titrating to keep plateau pressure below 30 cm. Since the fistula leak per breath continued to be high (140 ml or 3.5 L/min), it was felt that chang-
ing the ventilator strategy to decrease airway pressures and therefore fistula flow would further improve gas exchange. Tidal volume was decreased step-wise over the next 30 minutes from 300 ml to 100 ml while respiratory rate was increased from 20 to 60/min. This resulted in significant reductions in peak, plateau and mean airway pressures and hence decrease in fistula leak per breath (table 1). However the patient’s saturation by oximetry dropped to 78% and an ABG analysis revealed severe hypoxemia and respiratory acidosis (PaO2 44 mm Hg, PaCO2 71 mmHg, HCO3 27 mEq/L pH 7.26). It therefore became clear that ventilator settings had to be modified to treat ARDS rather than the bronchopleural fistula (BPF) in order to optimise gas exchange goals and the patient was managed using a standard ventilatory strategy for ARDS subsequently.

Empirical 4 drug anti-tubercular therapy (ATT) was started on day seven after admission in view of persistent fever and lack of improvement in respiratory parameters as well as the presence of fine nodular infiltrates on CXR. Over the next ten days she became a-febrile and the requirements for PEEP and FiO2 gradually decreased. Tracheal aspirate bacterial culture was sterile and smears for AFB negative on 3 occasions. The patient was extubated uneventfully on day 22 post-admission. A transbronchial lung biopsy performed subsequently revealed caseating granulomas positive for acid-fast bacilli. The patient was discharged in a satisfactory condition on ATT after povidone-iodine pleurodesis of the left pleural cavity. At the two-month follow up there was complete clearing of the CXR and no recurrence of pneumothorax.

**Discussion**

In India and other developing countries, tuberculosis remains one of the most common causes of BPF [1]. Tuberculosis is also being increasingly recognised as a cause of ARDS [2-5]. Although exact figures as to what percentage of cases of ARDS are tubercular in aetiology are not available, in Southeast Asia tuberculosis accounts for 3-16% of cases of community-acquired pneumonia [6,7]. In our ICU, 185 cases (18.8%) out of a total of 984 admissions had ARDS over an 8-year period of which tuberculosis accounted for seven (3.8%). The pathogenic mechanism of ARDS in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis has not been clearly elucidated. Postulated mechanisms include massive release of mycobacteria into the pulmonary circulation resulting in inflammation, obliterator endarteritis and damage of the alveolarcapillary membrane [8]. Platelet aggregation in pulmonary capillaries causing endothelial injury and leukocyte activation resulting in increased vascular permeability are other hypotheses. In addition, lipoarabinomannan, a component of mycobacterial cell wall, is thought to act in a manner similar to lipopolysaccharide in bacterial sepsis to activate macrophages to release tumour necrosis factor-α [TNF-α] and interleukin-1β [IL-1β]. The activation of macrophages is thought to be a key step in the causation of lung injury [9]. It is yet to be determined, whether it is the individual host immunologic responses independent of organism burden or differences in the virulence of different strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis which are the prime factors in the development of lung injury.

The presence of a BPF in mechanically ventilated patients presents problems in achieving adequate ventilation and oxygenation while allowing repair of the BPF to occur. The fistula site provides an area of low resistance to airflow and allows the escape of a variable percentage of the delivered

---

**Table 1.** - Effects of sequential reduction of mean airway pressure by reduction of PEEP and tidal volume and compensatory increase of rate on fistula leak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal volume (ml)</th>
<th>Respiratory rate/min</th>
<th>Peak inspiratory flow (L/min)</th>
<th>Peak pressure (cm H2O)</th>
<th>Plateau pressure (cm H2O)</th>
<th>Mean airway pressure (cm H2O)</th>
<th>Leak/breath (ml)</th>
<th>Leak/min (L/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tidal volume thus compromising ventilation and delaying healing of the fistula [10].

It is imperative for the clinician to decide while ventilating patients of ARDS with coexisting BPF whether gas exchange and alveolar ventilation are being compromised predominantly by alveolar filling due to ARDS, or by air leak due to the BPF. In our case it was ARDS which was mainly responsible for hypoxemia, since employing a strategy of very low tidal volumes and high rates actually worsened hypoxemia and respiratory acidosis even though air leak decreased significantly. In contrast, increasing PEEP from 5 to 16 cm water increased the volume of air leak/breath but also improved gas exchange parameters.

High frequency ventilation (HFV) is generally considered to be superior to conventional positive pressure ventilation in patients with BPF, since the lower airway pressures with the former mode can reduce fistula leak and loss of effective tidal volume [11]. However the use of HFV in patients with BPF and concomitant parenchymal lung disease such as ARDS is controversial. The variable success reported with HFV in patients with ARDS and BPF may be related to differences in study design, in the degree of parenchymal involvement and in the location and size of the BPF. Survival is poor in this group of patients although this has been attributed to the severity of the underlying disease rather than the mode of ventilation [12-14]. The difference in success using HFV in patients with BPF and normal lung parenchyma versus those with an abnormal parenchyma (ARDS) can be explained keeping in mind that impedance to flow through an airway depends on 3 factors: airway resistance, compliance of the lung and the frequency of ventilation. HFV increases airway impedance and reduces the importance of lung compliance on distribution of airflow. Therefore in the setting of normal lung compliance and a BPF having infinite compliance, HFV redistributes airflow to lung parenchyma by bypassing the air leak. On the other hand patients with ARDS have poor lung compliance and HFV is less effective in decreasing air leak because compliance becomes a greater determinant of airflow than impedance [15].

An additional factor responsible for the poor success of HFV in BPF with ARDS is the more peripheral location of fistulas in patients with ARDS compared to those with a normal lung parenchyma. According to Bernoulli’s equation, during HFV lateral airway pressure is increased to a greater extent in peripheral airways than more proximal ones, hence air leak is more in the peripheral BPFs of patients with ARDS during HFV [16].

This case therefore illustrates that patients with BPF arising in the setting of ARDS can be managed effectively using a conventional ventilator. It is imperative for the clinician to decide while ventilating patients of ARDS with coexisting BPF whether gas exchange and alveolar ventilation are being compromised predominantly by alveolar filling due to ARDS, or by air leak due to the BPF. In our case it was ARDS which was mainly responsible for hypoxemia, since employing a strategy of very low tidal volumes and high rates actually worsened hypoxemia and respiratory acidosis even though air leak decreased significantly. In contrast, increasing PEEP from 5 to 16 cm water increased the volume of air leak/breath but also improved gas exchange parameters.

High frequency ventilation (HFV) is generally considered to be superior to conventional positive pressure ventilation in patients with BPF, since the lower airway pressures with the former mode can reduce fistula leak and loss of effective tidal volume [11]. However the use of HFV in patients with BPF and concomitant parenchymal lung disease such as ARDS is controversial. The variable success reported with HFV in patients with ARDS and BPF may be related to differences in study design, in the degree of parenchymal involvement and in the location and size of the BPF. Survival is poor in this group of patients although this has been attributed to the severity of the underlying disease rather than the mode of ventilation [12-14]. The difference in success using HFV in patients with BPF and normal lung parenchyma versus those with an abnormal parenchyma (ARDS) can be explained keeping in mind that impedance to flow through an airway depends on 3 factors: airway resistance, compliance of the lung and the frequency of ventilation. HFV increases airway impedance and reduces the importance of lung compliance on distribution of airflow. Therefore in the setting of normal lung compliance and a BPF having infinite compliance, HFV redistributes airflow to lung parenchyma by bypassing the air leak. On the other hand patients with ARDS have poor lung compliance and HFV is less effective in decreasing air leak because compliance becomes a greater determinant of airflow than impedance [15].

An additional factor responsible for the poor success of HFV in BPF with ARDS is the more peripheral location of fistulas in patients with ARDS compared to those with a normal lung parenchyma. According to Bernoulli’s equation, during HFV lateral airway pressure is increased to a greater extent in peripheral airways than more proximal ones, hence air leak is more in the peripheral BPFs of patients with ARDS during HFV [16].

This case therefore illustrates that patients with BPF arising in the setting of ARDS can be managed effectively using a conventional ventilator. In patients with ARDS of obscure etiology in regions with a high prevalence of tuberculosis, antitubercular therapy may be started empirically and the diagnosis actively pursued. Early diagnosis and specific therapy may improve the outcome of this uncommon but treatable cause of ARDS.
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