Contrast transesophageal three-dimensional echocardiographic imaging for patent foramen ovale: a needful role?
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Abstract

The management of patent foramen ovale (PFO) still remains controversial. According to the newest scientific evidences, the assessment of the PFO size and the shunt has paramount importance for further management [1]. Although two-dimensional (2D) transesophageal (TE) echocardiography (E) contrast study has been considered to be the gold standard imaging modality for diagnosing PFO for a long time, new evidences underscore that its accuracy may have been over-valued [1,2]. Three-dimensional (3D) TEE imaging has emerged as a diagnostic technique that overcome some of the limitations of 2DE. 3D TEE allows an anatomical imaging for a comprehensive echocardiographic assessment of the left atrium, atrial septum and of PFO morphology [3,4]. However, it has never been reported about its diagnostic usefulness in detecting the bubbles passage during contrast study.

We report a case where it has been possible to detect the passage of bubbles across the PFO thanks to 3D TEE.

Case Report

We report a case of a 55-year-old male admitted for cardiogenic embolic ischemic stroke work up including transthoracic (TT) and TEE contrast study. PFO was defined as TT and TE echocardiographic evidence of infused microbubbles in the left atrium within three cardiac cycles after their appearance in the right atrium, at rest or during Valsalva release; echo contrast consisted of a agitated mixture of air, saline solution and patient’s blood; the ability of the patient to correctly perform the Valsalva manoeuvre to provoke a right-to-left shunt was assessed by observing a 20 cm/s decrease in transmural E velocity by TT echocardiography before the TEE contrast study and/or a change in the convexity of the fossa ovalis membrane from right to left. Transthoracic echocardiography did not reveal any sources of embolism and passage of bubbles during contrast study. Further 2D TEE with contrast study to rule PFO and sources of embolism was performed ; 2D TEE analysis did not detect any bubbles passage in the left atrium at rest and during Valsalva manoeuvre in the standard 2D cross sectional planes in 4 chambers and bicalval views (0° and 95°, respectively) (Video 1 and Video 2); real-time 3D TE echocardiographic imaging during a second injection of contrast during Valsalva manoeuvre revealed passage of bubbles in the left atrium by both real-time 3D TE imaging (Figure 1 and Figure 2D; Video 3) and by the 2D sectioning in...
unconventional cross sectional planes allowed by 3D TE imaging intersecting the bubbles displayed on the 3D image (Figure 2 A-C; Video 4). It was possible to identify 16 bubbles. No other sources of embolism were detected.

Discussion

The management of PFO still remains controversial. According to the newest scientific evidences, the assessment of the PFO size and the shunt has paramount importance for further management [1]. Image the PFO is not easy, because of its complex anatomic morphology, the irregular shape of the opening and for the dynamic changes during the cardiac cycle [2-4]. Contrast transesophageal echocardiography provides detailed imaging of the interatrial septum and other relevant structures and the detection of the shunt itself [1-4]. Although 2D TEE contrast study has been considered to be the gold standard imaging modality for diagnosing PFO for a long time, new evidences underscore that its accuracy may have been over-valued [1,5,6].

Indeed, a meta-analysis of the accuracy of TEE in the diagnosis of PFO compared to autopsy and cardiac surgery yielded a weighted sensitivity of only 89% [1,6]. It is important to understand the limitations of 2D TEE and perhaps use other highly sensitive screening tests, such as transcranial doppler, in conjunction with 2D TEE before scheduling a patient for transcatheter PFO closure [1,5,6]. Inability to perform an adequate Valsalva manoeuvre during TEE is probably responsible but other factors can be involved [5,6]. In fact, the correct use of the Valsalva manoeuvre to provoke a right-to-left shunt is essential. A good quality of the Valsalva manoeuvre should be considered effective by observing a 20 cm/sec decrease in transmirtal E velocity and a change in the convexity of the fossa ovalis membrane from right to left as a means of judging the effectiveness of the Valsalva manoeuvre creating sufficient intrathoracic pressure to decrease preload and sufficient to also increase the right atrial-to-left atrial pressure gradient [5,6]. Moreover, having in mind that the PFO has a ‘mouth shaped’ opening [3,4], that the bubbles can pass eccentrically across the PFO due to an asymmetrical PFO opening and that can be directed in any directions and that whole LA volume cannot be imaged by 2D TEE without using contemporary multiple cross sectional planes at the same time, we have to consider the possibility that by using only 2D TEE could lead to misdiagnose the presence and the numbers of the bubbles.

The advent and technological advances in 3D TE imaging allow for the reconstruction of realistic anatomic images of cardiac structure and function [3,4,7,8]. Nowadays this imaging appears to be emerging as a diagnostic technique that overcomes some of the limitations of 2D E [3,4,7,8]. Currently, both transthoracic echocardiography and transesophageal echocardiography transducers may generate 3D images. However, 3D TEE, providing images of higher quality in comparison with 3D TT ECHO, is the only modality able to allow for a detailed anatomical imaging. 3D TEE allows an anatomical imaging for a comprehensive echocardiographic assessment of the left atrium, atrial septum and of PFO morphology [3,4,7,8,9]. Moreover, TEE 3D atrial septum image appears very detailed because the 3D system primarily uses the axial resolution to reconstruct the image that is superior to both lateral resolution and elevation (azimuth) resolution [3,4,7]. Furthermore, it permits the sectioning of the 3D volume by innumerable and unconventional 2D cross sectional planes oriented on the 3D image [7].

Currently, even though the low spatial and temporal resolution remains a fundamental limitation of real time 3D E, the platforms are able to achieve an excellent number of scan lines and the frame rates are also double than in the past and produces a superior image quality [9]. These technological advances in 3DE produce more accurate and reproducible measurements, mainly in the ventricular volume and ejection fraction, as recognized in the American Society of Echocardiography and European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging Chamber Quantification guidelines [10]. 3D TEE also produces reproducible imaging of the cardiac anatomy, in particular of the mitral valve and atrial septum.

Despite the advantages, the dissemination of 3DE imaging in clinical practice is slow, mostly because 3D imaging rely on a certain level of expertise and organization of the laboratory and the latest advances are only available in the latest version of the machines. However, its use is becoming routine in highly specialized centres for structural heart disease assessment and guidance of procedures, it is also becoming a key tool for communication between imaging specialists, general cardiologists, interventionists, and surgeons [9,11].

In multimodality imaging scenarios, however, the advantages of 3DE, its higher spatial and temporal resolution, and its capacity to provide multiplanar and volume information, can be used in combination with CT imaging. The latest advances in 3D imaging software and hardware have also enabled the development of CT angiography (CTA) which can also be used to detect PFO [12]. Using three different criteria (a distinct left atrial ‘flap’ representing the fossa ovalis, a continuous column of contrast connecting this flap to the right atrium, and a contrast jet traversing the column into the right atrium), they were able to identify four PFO patients (67%) without using the Valsalva provocation manoeuvre [12]. In a recent study with 19 patients [13], the sensitivity and specificity of the CTA, compared with contrast TTE, in detecting the existence of a shunt were 53% (8/15) and 75% (3/4). The main limitations of this study were that the CTA was compared with TTE and not with...
TEE that is considered the gold standard. The CTA detected a left-to-right shunt while the echocardiography detected a right-to-left shunt and Valsalva manoeuvre cannot be performed in a CTA.

An MRI is another a non-invasive method to detect a PFO and atrial septal aneurysm. A study [14], with MRI compared with contrast-enhanced TEE for the detection of a PFO, had a sensitivity of 50% and a specificity of 100%, a negative predictive value of 31%, and a positive predictive value of 100%.

The CTA and MRI are not recommended in the recent European Society of Cardiology consensus document for diagnosing a PFO [1]. Larger prospective studies are required to establish the definite role of cardiac CTA and MRI in the diagnosis of a PFO. Based on the currently available evidence, the two modalities should be regarded as complementary methods to echocardiography, providing additional information in specific situations; however, they are not recommended as first-line modalities.

It has never been reported about 3D TEE diagnostic usefulness in helping to detect and count the bubbles during contrast study. We report a case where it has been possible to detect the passage of bubbles across the PFO thanks to 3D TEE. In our report the lack of detection by 2D TEE may be explained by the fact that the PFO opening was asymmetric and that the bubbles were directed eccentrically to impinge interatrial septum and the atrial wall going in a plane not intersected by the standard cross sectional 2D planes. Moreover, we have also to consider technical factors as a not appropriate choice of the 2D cross sectional planes for imaging the PFO and a not correct use of the Valsalva manoeuvre to provoke a right-to-left shunt that are common potential sources of misdiagnose; however, it is doubtless that 3D TEE helped to diagnose the shunt.

The use of 3D TEE allowing en face view of the interatrial septum can help to confirm the presence and the number of the bubbles and to better guide and positioning the 2D TEE cross sectional planes. Having in mind that the numbers of bubbles has been correlated to the size of PFO and therefore engages subsequent therapeutic choices, a correct detection of the number of bubbles has paramount importance in identifying large size complex PFO.

Figure 2. A-C) 2D sectioning in unconventional cross-sectional planes allowed by 3DE imaging intersecting the bubbles displayed on the 3D image; white arrows point at the bubbles. D) 3D TEE 'en face' view from above of the left side of the interatrial septum after cropping of left atrial wall showing the 2D electronical sectioning with planes intersecting the bubbles (black arrows). LA, left atrium.
Conclusions

Even in the presence of only a report, our case highlights the mainstay importance of a careful 2D TEE assessment and suggests that nowadays, thanks to the latest advances, 3D TEE could have an additional value and may represent the key adjunctive echocardiographic technique being able to positively impact on the diagnosis and to compliment 2D imaging in PFO assessment. Further studies are needed to address the accuracy of 3DE in PFO assessment.
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